1	LYSSA S. ANDERSON		
	Nevada Bar No. 5781		
2	RYAN W. DANIELS		
_	Nevada Bar No. 13094		
3	KRISTOPHER J. KALKOWSKI		
,	Nevada Bar No. 14892 JOSEPH E. DAGHER		
4	Nevada Bar No.		
5	KAEMPFER CROWELL		
	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650		
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135		
	Telephone: (702) 792-7000		
7	Fax: (702) 796-7181		
	landerson@kcnvlaw.com		
8	rdaniels@kcnvlaw.com		
	kkalkowski@kenvlaw.com		
9	jdagher@kcnvlaw.com		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
10	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
11	Fred Merrick; and Lora Cody		
	·		
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT (COURT
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
13	DISTRICT	OF INEVADE	•
14	KEYHERRA GREEN ,	Case No.	2:20-cv-00769-KJD-DJA
15	Plaintiff,		
	VS.		PULATION TO EXTEND
16	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE	DISCOV	VERY EXPERT DISCLOSURE
17	DEPARTMENT; FRED MERRICK; LORA		DEADLINE]
1 /	CODY; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		(Sixth Request)
18	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(~
	Defendants.		
19			
.	IT IC HEDEDY CTIDLY ATED AND	A CREED 1	. a e a a
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between the parties that the current		
21	deadline for disclosure of experts, be continued for a period of sixty (60) days up to and including		
22	January 4, 2022, for the purpose of allowing the parties to finish responding to written discovery,		
23	to allow for responses to various third-parties and to finish taking the depositions of the parties.		

Experts will need additional time to review the materials and prepare their expert reports.

I. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

The parties have exchanged their initial Rule 26 Disclosures and multiple Supplemental Disclosures. Plaintiff has responded to written discovery (Interrogatories, Requests for Admissions, Requests for Production of Documents) propounded by each of the Defendants. Each Defendant has responded to numerous written discovery requests from Plaintiff. The parties had discovery disputes and LVMPD Defendants filed a Motion for Protective Order which this Court granted. [ECF No. 60].

The deposition of Defendant Merrick has been taken. The LVMPD Defendants served numerous third-party subpoenas; many out of state which responses were received and supplemental disclosures were made. The LVMPD Defendants recently served additional Subpoenas to more out of state third-parties and are waiting for responses.

II. DISCOVERY YET TO BE COMPLETED

The LVMPD Defendants will be reviewing all of their prior discovery responses and providing any outstanding information/documents to Plaintiff after an agreement between Counsel. The LVMPD Defendants are waiting for responses to out of state third-party Subpoenas served.

The depositions of Defendant Cody and Plaintiff still need to be taken, as well as 30(b)(6) deposition(s). Counsel will be discussing availability and possible dates for depositions directly.

Upon receipt of additional materials and deposition transcripts, the parties' experts will prepare their reports and they will be disclosed.

III. REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED

As this Court is well aware of the history concerning discovery in this matter, it is aware that substantial discovery has been conducted. However, due to deadlines in other non-related matters as well as preparing for multiple Trials in other cases, there has been some delay in finalizing discovery that is needed for experts to review and finalize their reports. Because of this

2948720_1.docx 6943.212 Page 2 of 5

the parties are presently seeking an extension of only the expert disclosure deadline. Should the 1 parties require additional time to complete discovery generally, they will request it at a later date. 2 PROPOSED EXTENDED DEADLINES 3 4 **Discovery Deadline.** (A) 5 6 2022. 7 **(B)** 8 9 10 **(C) Dispositive Motions.** 11 12 13 14 15 **(D)** 16 17 18

The parties respectfully request this Court enter an order as follows:

The parties do not seek an extension of this deadline and it shall remain to be **January 4**,

Experts and Rebuttal Experts.

The parties shall disclose expert reports on or before January 4, 2022. The parties will seek an extension of the deadline to disclose rebuttal experts at a later date if necessary.

The parties are not currently seeking an extension of this deadline. All pretrial motions, including but not limited to, discovery motions, motions to dismiss, motions for summary judgment, and all other dispositive motions shall be filed and served no later than thirty (30) days after the close of discovery, or by February 3, 2022.

Motions in Limine/Daubert Motions.

Under LR 16-3(b), any motions in limine, including *Daubert* motions, shall be filed and served 30 days prior to the commencement of Trial. Oppositions shall be filed and served and the motion submitted for decision 14 days thereafter. Reply briefs will be allowed only with leave of the Court.

Pretrial Order. **(E)**

The parties are not currently seeking an extension of this deadline. Pursuant to LR 26(1)(e)(5), the Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed with this Court no later than thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions, or by March 7, 2022, unless dispositive motions are

19

20

21

22

23

24

filed, in which case the date for filing the Joint Pretrial Order shall be suspended until 30 days after the decision on the dispositive motions or further order of this Court. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections shall be included in the final pretrial order.

(F) Extensions or Modification of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

In accordance with LR 26-4, applications to extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension. All motions or stipulations to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan shall be received by the Court not later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline. A request made after the expiration of the subject deadline shall not be granted unless the movant demonstrates that the failure to set was the result of

excusable neglect. Any motion or stipulation to extend a deadline or to reopen discovery shall

- (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;
- (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
- (c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and
 - (d) A proposed scheduled for completing all discovery.

18 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

include:

19 | | ///

20 | | ///

///

///

///

21

22

23

24

KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

2948720_1.docx 6943.212

Page 4 of 5

Case 2:20-cv-00769-KJD-DJA Document 63 Filed 10/19/21 Page 5 of 5

This request for an extension is made in good faith and joined by all the parties in this case. The Request is timely pursuant to LR 26-3. Trial is not yet set in this matter and dispositive motions have not yet been filed. Accordingly, this extension will not delay this case. Moreover, since this request is a joint request, neither party will be prejudiced. The extension will allow the parties the necessary time to complete discovery. DATED this 18th day of October, 2021. KAEMPFER CROWELL PETER GOLDSTEIN LAW CORP By: /s/ Lyssa S. Anderson By: /s/ Peter Goldstein LYSSA S. ANDERSON Peter Goldstein Nevada Bar No. 5781 Nevada Bar No. 6992 RYAN W. DANIELS 10161 Park Run Dr., Ste. 150 Nevada Bar No. 13094 Las Vegas, NV 89145 1980 Festival Plaza Drive - and -Suite 650 MALCOM P. LAVERGNE & ASSOC. Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Attorneys for Defendant Malcom P. LaVergne Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Nevada Bar No. 10121 Department, Fred Merrick; and 400 S. Fourth St. Lora Cody Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021. DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24